



## FEE TRANSMITTAL FOR FY 2002

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 0

Complete if Known:

Application No. 09/216,004  
Filing Date December 17, 1998  
First Named Inventor \_\_\_\_\_  
Group Art Unit 2663  
Examiner Name Boakye, A. O.  
Attorney Docket No. 81862P106

RECEIVED  
MAY 01 2002  
Technology Center 2600

### METHOD OF PAYMENT (check one)

1. ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees and credit any over payments to:

Deposit Account Number 02-2666  
Deposit Account Name \_\_\_\_\_

☒ Charge Any Additional Fee Required Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17

☐ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

2. \_\_\_\_\_ Payment Enclosed: \_\_\_\_\_ Check  
\_\_\_\_\_ Money Order  
\_\_\_\_\_ Other

### FEE CALCULATION

#### 1. BASIC FILING FEE

Large Entity		Small Entity		Fee Description	Fee Paid
Code	Fee (\$)	Code	Fee (\$)		
101	740	201	370	Utility application filing fee	_____
106	330	206	165	Design application filing fee	_____
107	510	207	255	Plant filing fee	_____
108	740	208	370	Reissue filing fee	_____
114	160	214	80	Provisional application filing fee	_____
SUBTOTAL (1) \$					<u>0</u>

#### 2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES

		Extra Claims	Fee from below	Fee Paid
Total Claims	_____	- 20** = _____	X _____	= _____
Independent Claims	_____	- 3** = _____	X _____	= _____
Multiple Dependent	_____		_____	= _____

\*\*Or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see below.

Large Entity		Small Entity		Fee Description
Code	Fee (\$)	Code	Fee (\$)	
103	18	203	9	Claims in excess of 20
102	84	202	42	Independent claims in excess of 3
104	280	204	140	Multiple dependent claim, if not paid
109	84	209	42	**Reissue independent claims over original patent
110	18	210	9	**Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent

SUBTOTAL (2) \$ 0

**FEE CALCULATION (continued)****3. ADDITIONAL FEES**


<u>Large Entity</u>		<u>Small Entity</u>		<u>Fee Description</u>	<u>Fee Paid</u>
Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)		
105	130	205	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	_____
127	50	227	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet	_____
139	130	139	130	Non-English specification	_____
147	2,520	147	2,520	For filing a request for ex parte reexamination	_____
099	8,800	099	8,800	Request for inter parties reexamination	_____
112	920*	112	920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	_____
113	1,840*	113	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	_____
115	110	215	55	Extension for reply within first month	_____
116	400	216	200	Extension for reply within second month	_____
117	920	217	460	Extension for reply within third month	_____
118	1,440	218	720	Extension for reply within fourth month	_____
128	1,960	228	980	Extension for reply within fifth month	_____
119	320	219	160	Notice of Appeal	_____
120	320	220	160	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	_____
121	280	221	140	Request for oral hearing	_____
138	1,510	138	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	_____
140	110	240	55	Petition to revive - unavoidable	_____
141	1,280	241	640	Petition to revive - unintentional	_____
142	1,280	242	640	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	_____
143	460	243	230	Design issue fee	_____
144	620	244	310	Plant issue fee	_____
122	130	122	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	_____
123	50	123	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)	_____
126	180	126	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	_____
581	40	581	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	_____
146	740	246	370	For filing a submission after final rejection (see 37 CFR 1.129(a))	_____
148	110	248	55	Statutory Disclaimer	_____
149	740	249	370	For each additional invention to be examined (see 37 CFR 1.129(b))	_____
179	740	279	370	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	_____
169	900	169	900	Request for expedited examination of a design application	_____
195	300	195	300	Publication fee for early, voluntary, or normal pub.	_____
196	300	196	300	Publication fee for republication	_____
194	130	194	130	Request for voluntary publication or republication	_____
098	130	098	130	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(i) (except provisionals)	_____
091	1,280	091	1,280	Acceptance of unintentionally delayed claim for priority	_____

Other fee (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

Other fee (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

**SUBTOTAL (3) \$ 0**

\*Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid

**SUBMITTED BY:**Typed or Printed Name: Lester J. VincentSignature:  Date: Apr. 12, 2002Reg. Number: 31,460 Telephone Number: (408) 720-8300

Attorney's Docket No.: 81862P106

Patent

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:

Wing C. Chau, et al.

Application No: 09/216,004

Filed: December 17, 1998

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DYNAMIC  
DSP RESOURCE MANAGEMENT



) Examiner: Boakye, A. O.

) Art Unit: 2663

) "Express Mail" mailing label number: EL67275418845  
) Date of Deposit: APRIL 26, 2002  
) I hereby certify that I am causing this paper or fee to be  
) deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post  
) Office to Addressee" service on the date indicated above and  
) that this paper or fee has been addressed to the Assistant  
) Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

CATHY A. KERR  
(Typed or printed name of person mailing paper or fee)

Cathy A. Kerr  
(Signature of person mailing paper or fee)

April 26, 2002  
(Date signed)

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT

Sir:

This submission is in response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment  
mailed March 28, 2002.

REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application as amended is respectfully requested.

Claims 22 and 25 set forth in the Amendment mailed October 31, 2001 (in  
response to the Office Action mailed July 31, 2001) were not amended in that Office  
Action. Indeed, that Amendment on page 9 states that "[c]laims 1-23 and 25-34 are  
unchanged." Claims 22 and 25 were set forth for the convenience of the Examiner.  
The reference to those claims being "Once amended" means that claims 22 and 25  
were amended in the Amendment filed May 8, 2001, which was the previously filed  
amendment. The fact that no marked-up version of claims was included with the  
October 31, 2001 amendment is consistent with 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(c)(3), which states in  
pertinent part that "[a]ny claim not accompanied by a marked-up version will constitute